UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,300	12/31/2003	Anindya Banerjee	5760-18600	9800
	7590 03/27/200 , HOOD, KIVLIN, KO	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 398		TRUONG, LECHI		
AUSTIN, TX 78767-0398			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2194		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/27/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/749,300	BANERJEE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	LECHI TRUONG	2194	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 March 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	lvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply original.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	ut prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	031160
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alled		timely filed amendmer	it canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	cplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <i>none</i> .			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10.	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application ir	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/VAN H NGUYEN/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 2194	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant amendment filed on 03/11/2008 has been considered but they are not persuasive:

1. Applicant argued in substance that :

(1) " Examiner does not provide any reasoning or any evidence of any teaching in the cited references that would motivate one skilled in the art to modify Frolund to produce the recited limitations of, "sending a third message to the plurality of participant nodes, whein the third message instructs the participant nodes to commit the transaction".

2. Examiner respectfully disagreed with Applicant's remarks:

As to the point (1), Frolund teaches return an indication to the client that request was successfully processed but, Frolund does not teach send a third message to the node wherein the third message instructs the node to commit transaction after return an indication to the client that request was successfully processed. However, Svend teaches send a third message to the node wherein the third message instructs the node to commit transaction after return an indication to the client that request was successfully processed (The STM 18-1 then sends a "prepare" 42 message to the DBS 22-1 and the DBS 22-2 to request a vote from the DBSs on whether they are able to commit to the transaction. The DBS 22-1 and the DBS 22-2 reply with a "vote" 44[indication] ... The STM 18-1 sends a "reply" 50 to the CTM 14 and a "commit" 52 command [third command] to the DBS 22-1 and the DBS 22-2. The "commit" 52 command instructs the DBS 22-1 and DBS 22-2 to commit the transaction to a determined state, col 5, In 54-62/ If the CTM 14 does not receive the "reply" 50, it needs to retry the method invocation. The CTM 14 should not perform the retry if the transaction has already been committed at the server side since that would cause the transaction to be executed twice, col 6, In 32-38/the votes are "yes"[indication], col 8, In 14-16). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Frolund and Johnson with Svend to incorporate the feature of returning an indication to client application that the request was successfully processed, the third message instructs the participant nodes to commit the transaction because this allows client to be able to determine whether the database update will be performed or not.

2